arXiv:1612.00175v1 [math.NA] 1 Dec 2016

A CONVERGENT CRANK–NICOLSON GALERKIN SCHEME FOR THE BENJAMIN–ONO EQUATION

SONDRE TESDAL GALTUNG

Abstract. In this paper we prove the convergence of a Crank–Nicolson type Galerkin finite element scheme for the initial value problem associated to the Benjamin–Ono equation. The proof is based on a recent result for a similar numerical method for the Korteweg–de Vries equation and utilizes a local smoothing effect to bound the $H^{1/2}$-norm of the approximations locally. This enables us to show that the scheme converges strongly in $L^2(0,T;L^2_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}))$ to a weak solution of the equation for initial data in L^2 and some $T>0$. Finally we illustrate the convergence with some numerical examples.

1. Introduction

In this paper we consider a fully discrete Crank–Nicolson Galerkin scheme for the Cauchy problem associated to the Benjamin–Ono (BO) equation derived independently by Benjamin [1] and Ono [18],

$$\begin{cases} u_t + uu_x - \mathcal{H}u_{xx} = 0, & (x,t) \in \mathbb{R}\times(0,T), \\ u(x,0) = u_0(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}, \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

where $T>0$ is fixed, $u:\mathbb{R}\times[0,T)\to\mathbb{R}$ is the unknown, u_0 is the initial data and $\mathcal{H}$ is the Hilbert transform defined by

$$\mathcal{H}u(x,\cdot) := \text{p.v.}\frac{1}{\pi}\int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{u(x-y,\cdot)}{y}\,dy,$$

where p.v. denotes the Cauchy principal value.

Much work has been done on the existence of solutions to the equation, and there have been several improvements of the condition on lower regularity for the initial value problem (1) to be well-posed. Among the latest of these results is the work of Tao [19] who proved global well-posedness for initial data in H^s for $s\geq 1$ using a gauge transform resembling the famous Cole–Hopf transform for the viscous Burgers equation. By refining Tao's transform, Ionescu and Kenig [15] extended this result to $s\geq 0$.

The conserved quantities of the BO equation are closely related to the fact that it is, at least in the formal sense, completely integrable [8], a property shared by the well-known Korteweg–de Vries (KdV) equation. Another feature in common of these equations is the existence of families of explicit solutions called solitons. These localized, solitary wave solutions are a consequence of a delicate balance between dispersion and nonlinear convection. Having to approximate the simultaneous appearance of the two aforementioned effects makes the task of finding reliable numerical methods for the BO equation rather challenging. Dutta, Holden, Koley, and Risebro have recently proved convergence given sufficiently regular initial data

Date: November 30, 2016.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 35Q53, 65M60; Secondary: 35Q51, 65M12.

Key words and phrases. Benjamin–Ono equation, Finite element method, Convergence, Crank–Nicolson.

for a finite difference scheme [4], and operator splitting methods of Godunov and Strang type [5].

We will here consider a Crank–Nicolson type Galerkin scheme for finding weak solutions to the BO equation based on a method for the KdV equation due to Dutta and Risebro [7]. Their work can be seen as a generalization to higher order temporal approximations of the work of Dutta, Koley, and Risebro [6] where the implicit Euler method is used instead of Crank–Nicolson for the temporal discretization. Note that the results presented in the current paper is fully based on [10]. For results regarding convergence rates of the scheme discussed here in the case of sufficiently regular initial data, the reader is referred to [9].

2. Preliminary estimates

In the following we will give a brief explanation of our strategy. Let us momentarily define a weak solution to the Benjamin–Ono equation (1) to be a function $u(x,t)$ such that $u \in C^1\left([0,\infty);H^2(\mathbb{R})\right)$ which for all $v \in H^2(\mathbb{R})$ satisfies

$$\langle u_t, v\rangle + \langle uu_x, v\rangle + \langle \mathcal{H}u_x, v_x\rangle = 0, \tag{2}$$

where $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ denotes the standard L^2-inner product. We now discretize the equation in time using a Crank–Nicolson method. Let Δt be the time step size, $u^n \approx u(\cdot, n\Delta t)$ and $u^{n+1/2} = (u^{n+1}+u^n)/2$. For a given u^0, define u^n to be the solution of

$$\left\langle u^{n+1}, v\right\rangle + \Delta t\left\langle u^{n+1/2}\left(u^{n+1/2}\right)_x, v\right\rangle + \Delta t\left\langle \mathcal{H}\left(u^{n+1/2}\right)_x, v_x\right\rangle = \left\langle u^n, v\right\rangle, \tag{3}$$

for all $v \in H^2(\mathbb{R})$ and $n \geq 0$. Assuming that the above equation has a unique solution u^{n+1} we may choose $v = u^{n+1}+u^n$ in (3) which yields

$$\|u^{n+1}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = \|u^n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = \|u^0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}. \tag{4}$$

Here we have used that the Hilbert transform is antisymmetric, which is a consequence of the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1. *The Hilbert transform is a linear operator with the following properties:*

(i) (Skew-symmetricity) *Assume $f \in L^p(\mathbb{R})$ for $1 < p < \infty$ and $g \in L^q(\mathbb{R})$ where $1/p + 1/q = 1$. Then we have*

$$\langle \mathcal{H}f, g\rangle = -\langle f, \mathcal{H}g\rangle.$$

(ii) (Commutes with differentiation) *For a function f we have*

$$(\mathcal{H}f)_x = \mathcal{H}f_x.$$

(iii) (L^2-isometry) *The transform preserves the L^2-norm,*

$$\|\mathcal{H}f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}.$$

Remark 2.1. Setting $p = 2$ and $g = f$ in *(i)* in Lemma 2.1 gives $\langle \mathcal{H}f, f\rangle = 0$, which is the aforementioned antisymmetry property. Also, combining *(ii)* and *(iii)* shows that the transform is in fact isometric for all Sobolev norms H^k with $k \in \{0\} \cup \mathbb{N}$.

These properties will be essential in our convergence analysis and for a proof of the above lemma we refer to [13, p. 317]. Note that throughout this paper C will denote various positive constants which exact value is of no importance to the arguments. Likewise, $C(R)$ will denote various positive constants depending on the parameter R and so on.

Now, in [7] they introduce a smooth, positive and non-decreasing cut-off function φ and use integration by parts to derive a local smoothing effect which bounds u^n

locally in H^1-norm. This technique is originally due to Kato [16], and is in fact a consequence of the commutator identity

$$[-\partial_x^3, \varphi] = -3\partial_x\varphi_x\partial_x - \varphi_{xxx}.$$

Such identities have been generalized for the hierarchy of generalized Benjamin–Ono equations by Ginibre and Velo [11, 12], and the identity relevant for us is found in [11, p. 227] and reads

$$-[\mathcal{H}(-\partial_{xx}), \varphi] = 2D^{1/2}\varphi_x D^{1/2} + R_{1/2}(\varphi), \tag{5}$$

where D^β, $\beta > 0$ denotes the homogeneous fractional derivative defined by

$$D^\beta f(x) = (-\partial_{xx})^{\beta/2} f(x) := \mathcal{F}^{-1}[|\xi|^\beta \hat{f}(\xi)](x),$$

and $R_{1/2}(\varphi)$ is some remainder operator. Note the sign change on the left-hand side of the identity compared to that of Ginibre and Velo which is due to their use of the Hilbert transform with opposite sign. According to equation (40) in [11, p. 228] we have

$$\left|\left|\left| R_{1/2}(\varphi) \right|\right|\right| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \|\widehat{D^1\varphi_x}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \|\widehat{\varphi_{xx}}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}, \tag{6}$$

where $|||\cdot|||$ denotes the operator norm in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Using (5) and (6) we are able to bound the $H^{1/2}$-norm of u^n locally, where $H^s(\mathbb{R})$ is the real ordered Sobolev space of functions u such that $(1+|\xi|^2)^{s/2}\hat{u} \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ with the corresponding norm $\|u\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R})} = \|(1+|\xi|^2)^{s/2}\hat{u}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}$.

Let us define a smooth cut-off function $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ satisfying:

(a) $1 \leq \varphi(x) \leq 2 + 2R$,
(b) $\varphi'(x) = 1$ for $|x| < R$,
(c) $\varphi'(x) = 0$ for $|x| \geq R + 1$, and
(d) $0 \leq \varphi'(x) \leq 1$ for all x.

By the definition of φ, $v = \varphi u^{n+1/2}$ is also an admissible test function in $H^2(\mathbb{R})$, and we will write $w := u^{n+1/2}$ to save space. Inserting this in (3) and using the identity

$$\langle ww_x, w\varphi\rangle = -\frac{1}{3}\int_{\mathbb{R}} w^3\varphi_x\, dx$$

which is easily attained from integration by parts, we have

$$\frac{1}{2}\left\|u^{n+1}\sqrt{\varphi}\right\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + \Delta t\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{H}w_x(\varphi w)_x\, dx - \frac{\Delta t}{3}\int_{\mathbb{R}} w^3\varphi_x\, dx = \frac{1}{2}\left\|u^n\sqrt{\varphi}\right\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}. \tag{7}$$

We rewrite the second term on the left-hand side as

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{H}w_x(\varphi w)_x\, dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \varphi w\mathcal{H}(-\partial_{xx})w\, dx \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\langle w, \varphi\mathcal{H}(-\partial_{xx})w\rangle - \frac{1}{2}\langle w, \mathcal{H}(-\partial_{xx})\varphi w\rangle \\
&= \frac{1}{2}\langle w, -[\mathcal{H}(-\partial_{xx}), \varphi]w\rangle \\
&= \left\langle D^{1/2}w, \varphi_x D^{1/2}w\right\rangle + \frac{1}{2}\langle w, R_{1/2}(\varphi))w\rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used (5). To show that the last term is bounded we use the following fact: Given $f \in C^N(\mathbb{R})$ and $f^{(k)} \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ for $0 \leq k \leq N$ we have

$$|\hat{f}(\xi)| \leq \frac{C}{(1+|\xi|)^N}, \tag{8}$$

for some suitable C depending on $\|f^{(k)}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}$ and N. The above estimate is obtained using the relation

$$\sum_{0\leq k\leq N} |(i\xi)^k \hat{f}| = \sum_{0\leq k\leq N} |\widehat{f^{(k)}}| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \sum_{0\leq k\leq N} \|f^{(k)}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

which follows from the definition of the Fourier transform

$$\hat{f}(\xi) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(x) e^{-ix\xi}\, dx,$$

and the inequality

$$C_N^{-1}(1+|\xi|)^N \leq \sum_{0\leq k\leq N} |\xi^k| \leq C_N(1+|\xi|)^N,$$

where C_N only depends on N. As φ_{xx} belongs $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and in particular $C_c^2(\mathbb{R})$, we have $\varphi^{(2+k)} \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ for $k = 0, 1, 2$. According to (8) we then have $|\widehat{\varphi_{xx}}(\xi)| \leq \frac{C}{(1+|\xi|)^2}$ and thus $\|\widehat{\varphi_{xx}}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} \leq 2C$. Then it follows from (6) that

$$\frac{1}{2}\left\langle w, R_{1/2}(\varphi) w\right\rangle \geq -\frac{C}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \|w\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} = -\widetilde{C}\|w\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}.$$

Next we want to estimate the term stemming from the nonlinearity in terms of $\int_{\mathbb{R}} |D^{1/2}w|^2 \varphi_x\, dx$, and the following results will be of use.

From Theorem 6.5 in [3] we have for $s \in (0,1)$ and $p \in [1,\infty)$ such that $sp < n$ there exists a positive constant $C = C(n,p,s)$ such that the Sobolev space $W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is continuously embedded in $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for any $q \in [p, p^*]$ with $p^* := np/(n-sp)$,

$$\|f\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C\|f\|_{W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \tag{9}$$

where $W^{s,2}(\mathbb{R}^n) = H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Next we have the interpolation inequality stated in Proposition 3.1 of [17]: If $s_1 \leq s \leq s_2$ with $s = \theta s_1 + (1-\theta)s_2, 0 \leq \theta \leq 1$, then

$$\|u\|_{H^s(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \|u\|^\theta_{H^{s_1}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \|u\|^{1-\theta}_{H^{s_2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \tag{10}$$

Now we turn to the third term on the left-hand side of (7) and estimate it as

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}} w^3 \varphi_x\, dx &\leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} w^2\, dx\right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} w^4 \varphi_x^2\, dx\right)^{1/2} \\
&= \|w\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \|w\sqrt{\varphi_x}\|^2_{L^4(\mathbb{R})} \\
&\leq C\, \|w\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \|w\sqrt{\varphi_x}\|^2_{H^{1/4}(\mathbb{R})} \\
&\leq C\, \|w\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \|w\sqrt{\varphi_x}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \|w\sqrt{\varphi_x}\|_{H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R})} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} \|w\sqrt{\varphi_x}\|^2_{H^{1/2}(\mathbb{R})} + \frac{C^2}{2} \|w\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \|w\sqrt{\varphi_x}\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} \left\|D^{1/2}(w\sqrt{\varphi_x})\right\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + \frac{1}{2} \|w\sqrt{\varphi_x}\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + \frac{C^2}{2} \|w\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \|w\sqrt{\varphi_x}\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2} \left\|D^{1/2}(w\sqrt{\varphi_x})\right\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + C^2 \|w\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}\right) \|w\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}.
\end{aligned}$$

The second inequality above is an application of (9) with $n = 1$, $p = 2$, $s = \frac{1}{4}$, and $q = p^* = 4$, while the third inequality comes from (10) with $n = 1$ $s = \frac{1}{4}$, $s_1 = 0$, $s_2 = \frac{1}{2}$, and $\theta = \frac{1}{2}$. For the first term in the last line we set $h = \sqrt{\varphi_x}$ and use the commutator identity

$$D^{1/2}h = hD^{1/2} + S_{1/2}(h),$$

where $S_{1/2}(h)$ is a remainder operator. We may assume $\sqrt{\varphi_x} \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. If this were not the case we could have started by defining a non-negative function $h \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$

such that $h(x) = 1$ for $|x| < R$, $h(x) = 0$ for $|x| \geq R+1$ and $0 \leq h(x) \leq 1$. Then $h^2 \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ with the same properties as stated above for h and by defining $\varphi(x) := 1 + \int_{-\infty}^x h(x)^2\,dx$ we obtain a function with the same properties as the original φ, and where $\sqrt{\varphi_x}$ is smooth and compactly supported by definition. From Proposition 2.1 in [12] we have

$$\left|\left|\left|S_{1/2}(h)\right|\right|\right| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left\| \widehat{D^{1/2}h} \right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})}, \tag{11}$$

where $|||\cdot|||$ denotes the operator norm in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. This can be estimated as

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\left|\left|S_{1/2}(h)\right|\right|\right| &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left\| \widehat{D^{1/2}h} \right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left\| |\xi|^{1/2}\hat{h} \right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left\| (1+|\xi|)\hat{h} \right\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \left(\|\hat{h}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} + \|\widehat{h'}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R})} \right).\end{aligned}$$

Noting that $h \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and in particular $C_c^3(\mathbb{R})$, so that $h^{(k)} \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ for $k = 0,1,2,3$ and using (8) we have the estimate

$$\left|\left|\left|S_{1/2}(h)\right|\right|\right| \leq \frac{4C}{\sqrt{2\pi}} =: C_S.$$

Thus, taking the L^2-norm we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\left\| D^{1/2}(w\sqrt{\varphi_x}) \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} &\leq \left\| \sqrt{\varphi_x} D^{1/2} w \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + \left\| S_{1/2}(h) w \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \\ &\leq \left\| \sqrt{\varphi_x} D^{1/2} w \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + C_S \left\| w \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})},\end{aligned}$$

for some constant C_S depending on φ_x.

Inserting the above estimates in (7) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{2}\left\| u^{n+1}\sqrt{\varphi} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \Delta t \left\| \sqrt{\varphi_x} D^{1/2} w \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 - \Delta t \widetilde{C} \|w\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \\ &\qquad \leq \frac{1}{2}\left\| u^{n}\sqrt{\varphi} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \frac{\Delta t}{3} \left\| \sqrt{\varphi_x} D^{1/2} w \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \frac{\Delta t}{3} C_S^2 \|w\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \\ &\qquad\quad + \frac{\Delta t}{6}\left(1 + C^2 \|w\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \right) \|w\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2,\end{aligned}$$

which again implies

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{2}\left\| u^{n+1}\sqrt{\varphi} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + \frac{2\Delta t}{3} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| D^{1/2} u^{n+1/2} \right|^2 \varphi_x\,dx \\ &\qquad\qquad \leq \frac{1}{2}\left\| u^{n}\sqrt{\varphi} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + C\left(\left\| u^0 \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \right) \Delta t,\end{aligned}$$

where we in the last term have used that the L^2-norm of $w = u^{n+1/2}$ is bounded by the norm of u^0. By dropping the positive second term on the left-hand side, summing from $n = 0$ to $n = m-1$ and utilizing that this is a telescoping sum we obtain

$$\left\| u^m\sqrt{\varphi} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \leq \left\| u^0\sqrt{\varphi} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + C\left(\left\| u^0 \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \right) m\Delta t.$$

Also, first summing and then dropping $\frac{1}{2}\left\| u^{m+1}\sqrt{\varphi} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2$ on the left-hand side yields the estimate

$$\Delta t \sum_{n=0}^{m} \int_{-R}^{R} \left| D^{1/2} u^{n+1/2} \right|^2 dx \leq \frac{3}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2}\left\| u^0\sqrt{\varphi} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 + C\left(\left\| u^0 \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \right)(m+1)\Delta t \right).$$

Together these estimates imply that if the initial data $u^0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ then

$$u^{n+1/2} \in \ell^2\left([0, m\Delta t]; H^{1/2}([-R,R]) \right)$$

which shows that the solutions of the Crank–Nicolson temporal discretized equation also exhibit the local smoothing effect of the BO equation. Since this effect is the main ingredient of the convergence proof in the case of KdV, we want to show that it is present also in our fully discretized element scheme presented in the next section. When formulating the fully discrete scheme we follow [7] in using test functions of the form φv, where v belongs to some finite element space. This makes (7) hold which will lead to a $H^{1/2}$-bound like the one obtained here. The problem with this form of the scheme is that one loses the a priori preservation of the L^2-norm that was obtained here by choosing the test function $u^{n+1/2}$. This will make it necessary to introduce some CFL condition relating Δt and the spatial discretisation to bound the L^2-norm of the approximate solutions.

3. Formulation of the numerical scheme

Here we formulate the Crank–Nicolson type Galerkin scheme under consideration. First we present some remarks on notation and the discretization of time and space. Then we use the weak formulation of the problem and a Crank–Nicolson temporal discretization to define a sequence of functions approximating the exact solution at each discrete time step. We also define an iteration scheme to solve the implicit equation for each time step and show that this has a solution.

3.1. Notation and discretization.

We start by partitioning the real line in equally sized elements in the form of intervals. First define the grid points $x_j = j\Delta x$ for $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, where Δx is the spatial discretization parameter or step length. Then the elements can be written as $I_j = [x_{j-1}, x_j]$. Now turn to the discretization of the time interval considered. Given a fixed time horizon $T > 0$ and a temporal discretization parameter Δt we set $t_n = n\Delta t$ for $n \in \{0, 1, \ldots, N\}$, where $\left(N + \frac{1}{2}\right)\Delta t = T$. For convenience we also use the notation $t_{n+1/2} = \left(t_n + t_{n+1}\right)/2$.

Let φ be defined as in the previous section. We define the weighted L^2-inner product

$$\langle u, v\rangle_\varphi = \langle u, v\varphi\rangle,$$

and the associated weighted norm $\|u\|_{2,\varphi}^2 = \langle u, u\rangle_\varphi$.

3.2. Galerkin scheme.

As always for the finite element method we start by deriving a weak formulation of the problem (1), like the one obtained in (2). Applying the Crank–Nicolson temporal discretization to the weak formulation gives (3). Instead of looking for solutions to this equation in $H^2(\mathbb{R})$ we will look for solutions belonging to a finite-dimensional subspace $S_{\Delta x}$ of this Hilbert space.

We define the subspace $S_{\Delta x}$ as follows; assuming $r \geq 2$ is a fixed integer we denote the space of polynomials on the interval I of degree not exceeding r by $\mathbb{P}_r(I)$. Our goal is to find an approximation $u^{\Delta x}$ to the solution of (1) which for all $t \in [0, T]$ belongs to

$$S_{\Delta x} = \{v \in H^2(\mathbb{R}) \mid v \in \mathbb{P}_r(I_j), j \in \mathbb{Z}\}. \tag{12}$$

Now define $\mathcal{P}$ to be the L^2-orthogonal projection onto $S_{\Delta x}$. Then we define the sequence $\{u^n\}_{n\geq 0}$ through the following procedure: Given $u^0 = \mathcal{P}u_0$, find $u^{n+1} \in S_{\Delta x}$ which satisfies

$$\left\langle u^{n+1}, \varphi v\right\rangle - \Delta t\left\langle \frac{\left(u^{n+1/2}\right)^2}{2}, (\varphi v)_x\right\rangle + \Delta t\left\langle \mathcal{H}\left(u^{n+1/2}\right)_x, (\varphi v)_x\right\rangle = \left\langle u^n, \varphi v\right\rangle, \tag{13}$$

for all $v \in S_{\Delta x}$ and $n \in \{0, 1, \ldots, N\}$. Clearly, (13) is an implicit scheme and consequently one must solve a nonlinear equation to obtain u^{n+1} from u^n. The procedure for solving this equation at each time step is described in the following

subsection. Note also that $\left\|u^0\right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq \|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}$, and thus from here on we will always use the L^2-norm of the initial data u_0 as an upper bound for the norm of the approximation u^0.

The following *inverse inequalities* presented in [2, p. 142] will be instrumental in our later estimates.

$$\|z_x\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \leq \frac{C_1^{1/2}}{(\Delta x)^{1/2}}\|z_x\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq \frac{C_2^{1/2}}{(\Delta x)^{3/2}}\|z\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}, \quad z \in S_{\Delta x}, \tag{14}$$

where the constants $C_1, C_2 > 0$ are independent of z and Δx. Note that the first inequality also holds for z instead of z_x.

3.3. **Solvability for one time step.** To show the existence of a solution u^n for each time step we define the iteration scheme

$$\begin{cases} \left\langle w^{\ell+1}, \varphi v\right\rangle - \frac{\Delta t}{2}\left\langle \left(\frac{w^\ell + u^n}{2}\right)^2, (\varphi v)_x\right\rangle + \Delta t\left\langle \left(\mathcal{H}\frac{w^{\ell+1}+u^n}{2}\right)_x, (\varphi v)_x\right\rangle = \left\langle u^n, \varphi v\right\rangle, \\ w^0 = u^n, \end{cases} \tag{15}$$

which is to hold for all test functions $v \in S_{\Delta x}$. The existence of a unique solution $w^{\ell+1}$ to (15) is guaranteed by noting that one may consider this a Galerkin scheme for a linear problem involving a bilinear form in the variables $w^{\ell+1}$ and v. Using the commutator estimate for the part of the bilinear form involving the Hilbert transform, and choosing Δt small enough, $\widetilde{C}\Delta t \leq \frac{1}{2}$ say, the bilinear form is coercive with respect to the L^2-norm, which implies positive definiteness of the resulting matrix system.

We now present a lemma that guarantees the solvability of the implicit scheme (13). This technique is due to Simon Laumer.

Lemma 3.1 (Laumer)**.** *Choose a constant L such that $0 < L < 1$ and set*

$$K = \frac{7-L}{1-L} > 7.$$

We consider the iteration scheme (15) *and assume that the following CFL condition holds,*

$$\lambda \leq \frac{L}{2\sqrt{2}\sqrt{C_2}K\|u^n\|_{2,\varphi}}, \tag{16}$$

where C_2 is defined in (14) *and λ is given by*

$$\lambda^2 = \frac{\Delta t^2}{\Delta x^3}. \tag{17}$$

Then there exists a function u^{n+1} which solves (13) *and $\lim_{\ell\to\infty} w^\ell = u^{n+1}$. In addition,*

$$\|u^{n+1}\|_{2,\varphi} \leq K\|u^n\|_{2,\varphi}. \tag{18}$$

Proof. We start by rewriting (15) as

$$\left\langle w^{\ell+1}, \varphi v\right\rangle + \frac{\Delta t}{4}\left\langle \left(u^n w^\ell\right)_x, \varphi v\right\rangle + \frac{\Delta t}{4}\left\langle w^\ell w_x^\ell, \varphi v\right\rangle + \frac{\Delta t}{2}\left\langle \mathcal{H}w_x^{\ell+1}, (\varphi v)_x\right\rangle = \mathcal{F}(u^n, \varphi v)$$

for all $v \in S_{\Delta x}$, where

$$\mathcal{F}(u^n, \varphi v) := \left\langle u^n, \varphi v\right\rangle + \frac{\Delta t}{8}\left\langle (u^n)^2, (\varphi v)_x\right\rangle - \frac{\Delta t}{2}\left\langle \mathcal{H}u_x^n, (\varphi v)_x\right\rangle.$$

From the above equation one derives

$$\begin{aligned}\left\langle w^{\ell+1}-w^{\ell},\varphi v\right\rangle &+\frac{\Delta t}{4}\left\langle \left(u^{n}\left(w^{\ell}-w^{\ell-1}\right)\right)_{x},\varphi v\right\rangle \\ &+\frac{\Delta t}{4}\left\langle w^{\ell}w_{x}^{\ell}-w^{\ell-1}w_{x}^{\ell-1},\varphi v\right\rangle+\frac{\Delta t}{2}\left\langle \mathcal{H}\left(w^{\ell+1}-w^{\ell}\right)_{x},(\varphi v)_{x}\right\rangle=0.\end{aligned}$$

Now substitute $v=w^{\ell+1}-w^{\ell}=:w$ in the above equation to get

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle w,\varphi w\rangle+\frac{\Delta t}{2}\left\langle \mathcal{H}w_{x},(\varphi w)_{x}\right\rangle \\ &\quad=\underbrace{-\frac{\Delta t}{4}\left\langle \left(u^{n}\left(w^{\ell}-w^{\ell-1}\right)\right)_{x},\varphi w\right\rangle}_{\mathcal{A}_1}\underbrace{-\frac{\Delta t}{4}\left\langle w^{\ell}w_{x}^{\ell}-w^{\ell-1}w_{x}^{\ell-1},\varphi w\right\rangle}_{\mathcal{A}_2}.\end{aligned}$$

For the term involving the Hilbert transform we estimate as before and use the fact that $\varphi\geq 1$ to obtain

$$\left\langle \mathcal{H}w_{x},(\varphi w)_{x}\right\rangle\geq\left\|\sqrt{\varphi_{x}}D^{\frac{1}{2}}w\right\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2}-\widetilde{C}\|w\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2}\geq-\widetilde{C}\|w\|_{2,\varphi}^{2}.$$

We then estimate the term $\mathcal{A}_2$ by repeatedly applying Young's inequality and using (14),

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}_{2}&=\frac{1}{4}\int_{\mathbb{R}}(-\Delta t)\left(w^{\ell}w_{x}^{\ell}-w^{\ell-1}w_{x}^{\ell-1}\right)\varphi w\,dx\\ &\leq\frac{\Delta t^{2}}{8}\int_{\mathcal{R}}\left(w^{\ell}w_{x}^{\ell}-w^{\ell-1}w_{x}^{\ell-1}\right)^{2}\varphi\,dx+\frac{1}{8}\int_{\mathbb{R}}w^{2}\varphi\,dx\\ &=\frac{\Delta t^{2}}{8}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\left(w^{\ell}-w^{\ell-1}\right)w_{x}^{\ell}+w^{\ell-1}\left(w_{x}^{\ell}-w_{x}^{\ell-1}\right)\right)^{2}\varphi\,dx+\frac{1}{8}\int_{\mathbb{R}}w^{2}\varphi\,dx\\ &\leq\frac{\Delta t^{2}}{4}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(w^{\ell}-w^{\ell-1}\right)^{2}\left(w_{x}^{\ell}\right)^{2}\varphi\,dx\\ &\quad+\frac{\Delta t^{2}}{4}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(w^{\ell-1}\right)^{2}\left(w_{x}^{\ell}-w_{x}^{\ell-1}\right)^{2}\varphi\,dx+\frac{1}{8}\int_{\mathbb{R}}w^{2}\varphi\,dx\\ &\leq\frac{\Delta t^{2}}{4}\left(\|w_{x}^{\ell}\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})}^{2}\|w^{\ell}-w^{\ell-1}\|_{2,\varphi}^{2}+\|w_{x}^{\ell}-w_{x}^{\ell-1}\|_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})}^{2}\|w^{\ell-1}\|_{2,\varphi}^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{8}\|w\|_{2,\varphi}^{2}\\ &\leq\frac{C_{2}\Delta t^{2}}{4\Delta x^{3}}\left(\|w^{\ell}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2}\|w^{\ell}-w^{\ell-1}\|_{2,\varphi}^{2}+\|w^{\ell}-w^{\ell-1}\|_{L^{2}(\mathbb{R})}^{2}\|w^{\ell-1}\|_{2,\varphi}^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{8}\|w\|_{2,\varphi}^{2},\end{aligned}$$

which yields

$$\mathcal{A}_{2}\leq\frac{1}{8}\|w\|_{2,\varphi}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}C_{2}\lambda^{2}\max\{\|w^{\ell}\|_{2,\varphi}^{2},\|w^{\ell-1}\|_{2,\varphi}^{2}\}\|w^{\ell}-w^{\ell-1}\|_{2,\varphi}^{2}.$$

Likewise we estimate $\mathcal{A}_1$,

$$\mathcal{A}_{1}\leq\frac{\Delta t^{2}}{8}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\left(u^{n}\left(w^{\ell}-w^{\ell-1}\right)\right)_{x}\right)^{2}\varphi\,dx+\frac{1}{8}\int_{\mathbb{R}}w^{2}\varphi\,dx,$$

where estimates analogous to the preceding ones lead to

$$\mathcal{A}_{1}\leq\frac{1}{8}\|w\|_{2,\varphi}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}C_{2}\lambda^{2}\|u^{n}\|_{2,\varphi}\|w^{\ell}-w^{\ell-1}\|_{2,\varphi}^{2}.$$

Collecting the bounds we have the following inequality for $\ell\geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned}\|w\|_{2,\varphi}^{2}&-\frac{\Delta t}{2}\widetilde{C}\|w\|_{2,\varphi}^{2}\\ &\leq\frac{1}{4}\|w\|_{2,\varphi}^{2}+C_{2}\lambda^{2}\max\{\|w^{\ell}\|_{2,\varphi}^{2},\|w^{\ell-1}\|_{2,\varphi}^{2},\|u^{n}\|_{2,\varphi}^{2}\}\|w^{\ell}-w^{\ell-1}\|_{2,\varphi}^{2},\end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to

$$\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{3}{2}-\widetilde{C}\Delta t\right)\|w^{\ell+1}-w^{\ell}\|_{2,\varphi}^2 \\ \leq C_2\lambda^2\max\{\|w^{\ell}\|_{2,\varphi}^2,\|w^{\ell-1}\|_{2,\varphi}^2,\|u^n\|_{2,\varphi}^2\}\|w^{\ell}-w^{\ell-1}\|_{2,\varphi}^2.$$

Assuming Δt small enough that $\frac{3}{2}-\widetilde{C}\Delta t\geq 1$ we obtain

$$\|w^{\ell+1}-w^{\ell}\|_{2,\varphi}^2\leq 2C_2\lambda^2\max\{\|w^{\ell}\|_{2,\varphi}^2,\|w^{\ell-1}\|_{2,\varphi}^2,\|u^n\|_{2,\varphi}^2\}\|w^{\ell}-w^{\ell-1}\|_{2,\varphi}^2. \quad (19)$$

We will now bound w^1, and so by setting $\ell=0$ in (15) we get

$$\left\langle w^1-u^n,\varphi v\right\rangle+\Delta t\left\langle\mathcal{H}\left(\frac{u^n+w^1}{2}\right)_x,(\varphi v)_x\right\rangle=\frac{\Delta t}{2}\left\langle(u^n)^2,(\varphi v)_x\right\rangle \\ =-\Delta t\left\langle u^nu_x^n,\varphi v\right\rangle.$$

Choosing $v=\frac{u^n+w^1}{2}$ gives

$$\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\left(w^1\right)^2-\left(u^n\right)^2\right)\varphi\,dx+\Delta t\int_{\mathbb{R}}\mathcal{H}\left(\frac{u^n+w^1}{2}\right)_x\left(\varphi\frac{u^n+w^1}{2}\right)_x dx \\ =-\Delta t\int_{\mathbb{R}}u^nu_x^n\frac{u^n+w^1}{2}\varphi\,dx.$$

Estimating the term involving the Hilbert transform as before, using Young's inequality and (14) leads to

$$\left(\frac{1}{4}-\frac{\widetilde{C}\Delta t}{2}\right)\|w^1\|_{2,\varphi}^2\leq\left(\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{4}+\frac{\widetilde{C}\Delta t}{2}\right)\|u^n\|_{2,\varphi}^2+\frac{C_2}{2}\lambda^2\|u^n\|_{2,\varphi}^4.$$

Choosing Δt small enough that $\frac{1}{4}-\frac{\widetilde{C}\Delta t}{2}\geq\frac{1}{8}$ then gives

$$\|w^1\|_{2,\varphi}^2\leq 8\left(1+C_2\lambda^2\|u^n\|_{2,\varphi}^2\right)\|u^n\|_{2,\varphi}^2. \quad (20)$$

Now we claim that the following holds for $\ell\geq 1$,

$$\|w^{\ell+1}-w^{\ell}\|_{2,\varphi}\leq L\|w^{\ell}-w^{\ell-1}\|_{2,\varphi}, \quad (21a)$$
$$\|w^{\ell}\|_{2,\varphi}\leq K\|u^n\|_{2,\varphi}, \quad (21b)$$
$$\|w^1\|_{2,\varphi}\leq 5\|u^n\|_{2,\varphi}. \quad (21c)$$

The proof follows an induction argument. From (20) and (16) we get

$$\|w^1\|_{2,\varphi}\leq\left(2\sqrt{2}+2\sqrt{2}\sqrt{C_2}\lambda\|u^n\|_{2,\varphi}\right)\|u^n\|_{2,\varphi} \\ \leq\left(2\sqrt{2}+\frac{L}{K}\right)\|u^n\|_{2,\varphi}\leq 5\|u^n\|_{2,\varphi}\leq K\|u^n\|_{2,\varphi},$$

and so (21c) and (21b) hold for $\ell=1$. Setting $\ell=1$ in (19) while using (16) we obtain

$$\|w^2-w^1\|_{2,\varphi}\leq\sqrt{2C_2}\lambda\max\{\|w^1\|_{2,\varphi},\|u^n\|_{2,\varphi}\}\|w^1-u^n\|_{2,\varphi} \\ \leq\left(\sqrt{2C_2}\lambda\,5\|u^n\|_{2,\varphi}\right)\|w^1-u^n\|_{2,\varphi} \\ \leq\frac{5L}{2K}\|w^1-u^n\|_{2,\varphi}\leq L\|w^1-u^n\|_{2,\varphi},$$

which shows that (21a) holds for $\ell = 1$. Now assume that (21a) and (21b) hold for $\ell = 1, \dots, m$. One then has

$$\begin{aligned}\|w^{m+1}\|_{2,\varphi} &\leq \sum_{\ell=0}^{m} \|w^{\ell+1} - w^{\ell}\|_{2,\varphi} + \|w^0\|_{2,\varphi} \leq \|w^1 - w^0\|_{2,\varphi} \sum_{\ell=0}^{m} L^{\ell} + \|w^0\|_{2,\varphi} \\ &\leq 6\|u^n\|_{2,\varphi} \frac{1}{1-L} + \|u^n\|_{2,\varphi} = \frac{7-L}{1-L}\|u^n\|_{2,\varphi} = K\|u^n\|_{2,\varphi},\end{aligned}$$

thus (21b) holds for all ℓ. This result together with (19) and (16) lead to

$$\begin{aligned}\|w^{\ell+1} - w^{\ell}\|_{2,\varphi} &\leq \sqrt{2C_2}\lambda \max\{\|w^{\ell}\|_{2,\varphi}, \|w^{\ell-1}\|_{2,\varphi}, \|u^n\|_{2,\varphi}\}\|w^{\ell} - w^{\ell-1}\|_{2,\varphi} \\ &\leq \sqrt{2C_2}\lambda K\|u^n\|_{2,\varphi}\|w^{\ell} - w^{\ell-1}\|_{2,\varphi} \leq L\|w^{\ell} - w^{\ell-1}\|_{2,\varphi}.\end{aligned}$$

This shows that (21a) holds for all ℓ as well. Since $0 < L < 1$ this shows that $\{w^{\ell}\}$ is Cauchy and hence converges, which completes the proof of Lemma 3.1. □

4. Convergence of the scheme

In this section we will prove the convergence of the numerical scheme introduced in the previous section. As mentioned earlier we will use a local smoothing effect of the BO equation to obtain a $H^{1/2}_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R})$ estimate of the approximations. We begin with the following important lemma.

Lemma 4.1. *Let λ, K and L be defined as in Lemma 3.1 and let u^n be the solution of the scheme* (13)*. Assume also that Δt satisfies*

$$\lambda \leq \frac{L}{2\sqrt{2}\sqrt{C_2}K\sqrt{Y}}, \tag{22}$$

for some Y which only depends on $\|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}$.[1] *Then there exist a positive time T and a constant C, both depending only on $\|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}$ such that for all n satisfying $n\Delta t \leq T$ the following estimate holds*

$$\|u^n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq C\left(\|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}\right). \tag{23}$$

In addition, the approximation u^n satisfies the following $H^{1/2}$-estimate

$$\Delta t \sum_{\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right)\Delta t \leq T} \left\| D^{1/2} u^{n+1/2} \right\|^2_{L^2([-R,R])} \leq C\left(\|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}\right), \quad \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)\Delta t < T. \tag{24}$$

Proof. Starting with (13) it follows that (7) holds, and with the same estimates as in the associated section and the fact that $1 \leq \varphi(x) \leq 2 + 2R$ we obtain the inequality

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(u^{n+1}\right)^2 \varphi\, dx + 2\Delta t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| D^{1/2} u^{n+1/2} \right|^2 \varphi_x\, dx - 2\Delta t \widetilde{C} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(u^{n+1/2}\right)^2 \varphi\, dx \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(u^n\right)^2 \varphi\, dx + \frac{2\Delta t}{3} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| D^{1/2} u^{n+1/2} \right|^2 \varphi_x\, dx + \frac{2\Delta t}{3} C_S^2 \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(u^{n+1/2}\right)^2 \varphi\, dx \\ &\quad + \frac{\Delta t}{3} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(u^{n+1/2}\right)^2 \varphi\, dx + \frac{\Delta t}{3} C^2 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(u^{n+1/2}\right)^2 \varphi\, dx \right)^2,\end{aligned}$$

[1] In fact, Y is the solution y of the ordinary differential equation (27) evaluated at the time T defined below.

which again implies

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(u^{n+1}\right)^2 \varphi\, dx + \frac{4}{3}\Delta t \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left|D^{1/2} u^{n+1/2}\right|^2 \varphi_x\, dx \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(u^{n}\right)^2 \varphi\, dx + \Delta t\, C \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(u^{n+1/2}\right)^2 \varphi\, dx + \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(u^{n+1/2}\right)^2 \varphi\, dx\right)^2\right].\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

Dropping the term involving the fractional derivative and writing $a_n = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(u^n\right)^2 \varphi\, dx$ then gives

$$a_{n+1} \leq a_n + \Delta t f(a_{n+\frac{1}{2}}), \tag{26}$$

with the function

$$f(a) = C\left[a + a^2\right].$$

It is easily seen that $a_{n+\frac{1}{2}} \leq (a_n + a_{n+1})/2$ and so $\{a_n\}$ solves the Crank–Nicolson method for the differential inequality

$$\frac{da}{dt} \leq f(a).$$

Let us then consider the following ordinary differential equation

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dy}{dt} = f\left(\frac{K^2+1}{2} y\right), \quad t > 0, \\ y(0) = a_0, \end{cases} \tag{27}$$

where K comes from Lemma 3.1. It is not difficult to show that this differential equation has a unique solution y which blows up at some finite time T_∞ depending only on the initial condition, and so we choose $T = T_\infty/2$. Note that the solution of this ordinary differential equation is strictly increasing and convex. We now compare this solution with (26) under the assumption that the CFL condition (22) holds with $Y := y(T)$. We claim that $a_n \leq y(t_n)$ for all $n \geq 0$, and argue by induction. As $y(0) = a_0$, the claim holds for $n = 0$. Now assume that it holds for $n \in \{0, 1, \ldots, m\}$. As $0 \leq a_m \leq y(T)$, (22) implies that (16) holds, and thus Lemma 3.1 gives $a_{m+1} \leq K^2 a_m$. Therefore we have

$$a_{m+\frac{1}{2}} \leq \frac{1}{2}(a_m + a_{m+1}) \leq \left(\frac{K^2+1}{2}\right) a_m.$$

The convexity of f then gives

$$\begin{aligned} a_{m+1} &\leq a_m + \Delta t f\left(\frac{K^2+1}{2} a_m\right) \leq y(t_m) + \Delta t f\left(\frac{K^2+1}{2} y(t_m)\right) \\ &\leq y(t_m) + \Delta t \frac{dy}{dt}(t_m) \leq y(t_{m+1}), \end{aligned}$$

which proves the claim. Since $\varphi \geq 1$ we get the L^2-stability estimate (23),

$$\|u^n\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq \sqrt{y(T)} \leq C\left(\|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}\right).$$

Consequently, summing over (25) yields the estimate

$$\Delta t \sum_{n\Delta t \leq T} \int_{-R}^{R} \left|D^{1/2} u^{n+1/2}\right|^2 dx \leq C\left(\|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}\right).$$

This proves (24) and completes the proof of Lemma 4.1. □

4.1. **Bounds on temporal derivative.** We will here obtain bounds on the temporal derivative to be used later in the analysis. The following lemma will be of use.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $\psi \in C_c^\infty(-R,R)$. Then there exists a projection $P : C_c^\infty(-R,R) \to S_{\Delta x} \cap C_c(-R,R)$ such that*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} uP(\psi)\,\varphi\,dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} u\psi\varphi\,dx, \quad u \in S_{\Delta x}.$$

In addition, P satisfies the bounds

$$\begin{cases} \|P(\psi)\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq C\|\psi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}, \\ \|P(\psi)\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})} \leq C\|\psi\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}, \\ \|P(\psi)\|_{H^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq C\|\psi\|_{H^2(\mathbb{R})}, \end{cases} \tag{28}$$

where the constant C is independent of Δx.

Proof. The proof is a straightforward adaptation of the L^2-projection results found in the monograph of Ciarlet [2, p. 146]. □

In our upcoming estimates we also need the following Sobolev inequality. Given $v \in H^1(\mathbb{R})$, we have

$$\|v\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R})} \leq \|v\|_{H^1(\mathbb{R})}. \tag{29}$$

From the definitions of the dual norms in $H^{-2}(\mathbb{R})$ and $H^{-2}([-R,R])$ we have the inequalities

$$\int_{-R}^{R} uv\,dx \leq \|u\|_{H^{-2}([-R,R])}\|v\|_{H^2([-R,R])} \tag{30}$$

for $u \in H^{-2}([-R,R])$, $v \in H^2([-R,R])$, and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} uv\,dx \leq \|u\|_{H^{-2}(\mathbb{R})}\|v\|_{H^2(\mathbb{R})} \tag{31}$$

for $u \in H^{-2}(\mathbb{R})$, $v \in H^2(\mathbb{R})$.

The above relations together with Lemma 4.2 is used to prove the following lemma regarding the boundedness of the temporal derivatives of the approximate solutions.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $\{u^n\}$ be the solution of the scheme* (13) *and assume that the hypothesis of Lemma 4.1 holds. Then we have the following estimate*

$$\|D_t^+ u^n \varphi\|_{H^{-2}([-R,R])} \leq C\left(\|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}, R\right), \tag{32}$$

where $D_t^+ u^n$ is the forward time difference operator

$$D_t^+ u^n = \frac{u^{n+1} - u^n}{\Delta t}.$$

Proof. Start by rewriting (13) as

$$\left\langle D_t^+ u^n, \varphi v\right\rangle = \left\langle \frac{\left(u^{n+1/2}\right)^2}{2}, (\varphi v)_x \right\rangle - \left\langle \mathcal{H} u_x^{n+1/2}, (\varphi v)_x \right\rangle, \tag{33}$$

which holds for all $v \in S_{\Delta x}$. Let $\psi \in C_c^\infty(-R,R)$ and set $v = P(\psi)$, where P is the projection from Lemma 4.2, to get

$$\left\langle D_t^+ u^n, \varphi P(\psi)\right\rangle = \left\langle \frac{\left(u^{n+1/2}\right)^2}{2}, (\varphi P(\psi))_x \right\rangle - \left\langle \mathcal{H} u_x^{n+1/2}, (\varphi P(\psi))_x \right\rangle.$$

Using (29), (28) and (23) we estimate the first term on the right-hand side as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(u^{n+1/2}\right)^2 (\varphi P(\psi))_x \, dx \\
&\leq \left(\|P(\psi)\|_{L^\infty([-R,R])} + \|P(\psi)_x\|_{L^\infty([-R,R])}(2+2R)\right) \int_{-R}^{R} \left(u^{n+1/2}\right)^2 dx \\
&\leq \left(\|P(\psi)\|_{H^1([-R,R])} + \|P(\psi)_x\|_{H^1([-R,R])}(2+2R)\right) \left\|u^{n+1/2}\right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}^2 \\
&\leq C\left(\|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}, R\right) \|\psi\|_{H^2([-R,R])}.
\end{aligned}$$

The second term can be estimated as

$$\begin{aligned}
-\int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{H}u_x^{n+1/2} (\varphi P(\psi))_x \, dx &\leq \left\|\mathcal{H}u^{n+1/2}\right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \|(\varphi P(\psi))_{xx}\|_{L^2([-R,R])} \\
&\leq \left\|u^{n+1/2}\right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \|\varphi P(\psi)\|_{H^2([-R,R])} \\
&\leq C\left(\|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}, R\right) \|\psi\|_{H^2([-R,R])},
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used (23), (28) and the L^2-isometry of the Hilbert transform.

Together this gives

$$\left|\int_{-R}^{R} D_t^+ u^n \varphi\psi \, dx\right| = \left|\int_{-R}^{R} D_t^+ u^n \varphi P(\psi) \, dx\right| \leq C\left(\|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}, R\right) \|\psi\|_{H^2([-R,R])},$$

which implies

$$\left\|D_t^+ u^n \varphi\right\|_{H^{-2}([-R,R])} \leq C\left(\|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}, R\right),$$

and the estimate is proven.

If $\psi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ then $P(\psi) \in S_{\Delta x}$. By the exact same arguments as above, but this time on $\mathbb{R}$ instead of $[-R,R]$, we get

$$\|D_t^+ u^n \varphi\|_{H^{-2}(\mathbb{R})} \leq C\left(\|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}, R\right). \tag{34}$$

□

4.2. **Convergence to a weak solution.** Prior to stating our theorem of convergence we define the weak solution of the Cauchy problem (1) in the following way.

Definition 4.1. *Let $Q > 0$. Then $u \in L^2\left(0,T; H^{1/2}(-Q,Q)\right)$ is a weak solution of (1) in the region $(-Q,Q)\times[0,T)$ if*

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\phi_t u + \phi_x \frac{u^2}{2} - (\mathcal{H}\phi_{xx})\, u\right) dx\, dt + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(x,0) u_0(x)\, dx = 0, \tag{35}$$

for all $\phi \in C_c^\infty\left((-Q,Q)\times[0,T)\right)$.

Now we define the approximate solution $u^{\Delta x} \in S_{\Delta x}$, which will be shown to converge to a weak solution of (1), by the interpolation formula

$$u^{\Delta x}(x,t) = \begin{cases} u^{n-1/2}(x) + (t - t_{n-1/2}) D_t^+ u^{n-1/2}(x), & t \in [t_{n-1/2}, t_{n+1/2}), n \geq 1, \\ u^0(x) + 2t\frac{u^{1/2}(x) - u^0(x)}{\Delta t}, & t \in [0, t_{1/2}). \end{cases} \tag{36}$$

We then have the following convergence theorem, which is the main result of the paper.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\{u^n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of functions defined by the scheme* (13) *and assume that $\|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}$ is finite. Assume furthermore that $\Delta t = \mathcal{O}(\Delta x^2)$. Then there exist a positive time T and a constant C, depending only on R and $\|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}$ such that*

$$\left\|u^{\Delta x}\right\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2([-R,R]))} \leq C\left(\|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}, R\right), \tag{37}$$

$$\left\|u^{\Delta x}\right\|_{L^2(0,T;H^{1/2}([-R,R]))} \leq C\left(\|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}, R\right), \tag{38}$$

$$\left\|\partial_t u^{\Delta x}\varphi\right\|_{L^2(0,T;H^{-2}([-R,R]))} \leq C\left(\|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}, R\right), \tag{39}$$

where $u^{\Delta x}$ is given by (36). *Moreover, there exists a sequence $\{\Delta x_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ and a function $u \in L^2\left(0,T;L^2([-R,R])\right)$ such that*

$$u^{\Delta x_j} \to u \text{ strongly in } L^2\left(0,T;L^2([-R,R])\right), \tag{40}$$

as $\Delta x_j \xrightarrow{j\to\infty} 0$. The function u is a weak solution of the Cauchy problem for (1), *which is to say that it satisfies* (35) *with $Q = R-1$.*

Proof. Assume for simplicity that $T = (N+\frac{1}{2})\Delta t$ for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$. For $t \in [t_{n-1/2}, t_{n+1/2})$ we have

$$u^{\Delta x}(x,t) = (1-\alpha_n(t))u^{n-1/2}(x) + \alpha_n(t)u^{n+1/2}(x),$$

where $\alpha_n = (t-t_{n-1/2})/\Delta t \in [0,1]$. For $t \in [t_{n-1/2}, t_{n+1/2}), n = 1,2,\ldots,N$ one then has

$$\left\|u^{\Delta x}\right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq \|u^{n-1/2}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + \|u^{n+1/2}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq C\left(\|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}\right),$$

while for $t \in [0, t_{1/2})$ we have

$$\left\|u^{\Delta x}\right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq \|1-(2t)/\Delta t\|_\infty \|u^0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} + \|(2t)/\Delta t\|_\infty \|u^{1/2}\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \leq C\left(\|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}\right),$$

which proves (37).

Next we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^T \left\|D^{1/2}u^{\Delta x}\right\|^2_{L^2([-R,R])} dt \\
&\leq 2\left\|D^{1/2}u^0\right\|^2_{L^2([-R,R])} \int_0^{t_{1/2}} \left(1-\frac{2t}{\Delta t}\right)^2 dt + 2\left\|D^{1/2}u^{1/2}\right\|^2_{L^2([-R,R])} \int_0^{t_{1/2}} \left(\frac{2t}{\Delta t}\right)^2 dt \\
&\quad + 2\sum_{n=1}^N \left\|D^{1/2}u^{n-1/2}\right\|^2_{L^2([-R,R])} \int_{t_{n-1/2}}^{t_{n+1/2}} (1-\alpha_n(t))^2\, dt \\
&\quad + 2\sum_{n=1}^N \left\|D^{1/2}u^{n+1/2}\right\|^2_{L^2([-R,R])} \int_{t_{n-1/2}}^{t_{n+1/2}} (\alpha_n(t))^2\, dt \\
&\leq \Delta t\, C\left\|u^0\right\|^2_{H^1([-R,R])} + 2\Delta t \sum_{n=0}^N \left\|D^{1/2}u^{n+1/2}\right\|^2_{L^2([-R,R])} \\
&= \Delta t\, C\left\|u^0\right\|^2_{L^2([-R,R])} + \Delta t\, C\left\|u^0_x\right\|^2_{L^2([-R,R])} + 2\Delta t \sum_{n=0}^N \left\|D^{1/2}u^{n+1/2}\right\|^2_{L^2([-R,R])},
\end{aligned}$$

where in the last inequality we have used that $\left\|u^0\right\|_{H^{1/2}([-R,R])} \leq C\left\|u^0\right\|_{H^1([-R,R])}$ due to Sobolev embedding. In the last line we apply (24) to the sum, and the inverse equality (14) combined with the assumption $\Delta t \leq C\Delta x^2$ to the second term to conclude that (38) holds.

For the third relation, note that

$$u_t^{\Delta x} = \begin{cases} D_t^+ u^{n-1/2}, & (x,t) \in \mathbb{R} \times [t_{n-1/2}, t_{n+1/2}), \\ \frac{u^{1/2}-u^0}{\Delta t/2}, & (x,t) \in \mathbb{R} \times [0, t_{1/2}), \end{cases}$$
$$= \begin{cases} \frac{D_t^+ u^n + D_t^+ u^{n-1}}{2}, & (x,t) \in \mathbb{R} \times [t_{n-1/2}, t_{n+1/2}), \\ D_t^+ u^0, & (x,t) \in \mathbb{R} \times [0, t_{1/2}). \end{cases}$$

Using (32) it is then easy to show that (39) holds. If one instead uses (34) and considers the whole real line $\mathbb{R}$, the same argument leads to

$$\|\partial_t u^{\Delta x} \varphi\|_{L^2(0,T;H^{-2}(\mathbb{R}))} \leq C \left(\|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}, R \right). \tag{41}$$

Also, as φ is a positive and bounded smooth function, (37) and (38) give

$$\|\varphi u^{\Delta x}\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2([-R,R]))} \leq C \left(\|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}, R \right), \tag{42a}$$
$$\|\varphi u^{\Delta x}\|_{L^2(0,T;H^{1/2}([-R,R]))} \leq C \left(\|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}, R \right). \tag{42b}$$

Based on the bounds (42a), (42b) and (39) we apply the Aubin–Simon compactness lemma [14, Lemma 4.4] to the set $\{\varphi u^{\Delta x}\}$ to prove the existence of a sequence $\{\Delta x_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ such that $\Delta x_j \to 0$, and a function $\tilde{u}$ such that

$$\varphi u^{\Delta x_j} \to \tilde{u} \text{ strongly in } L^2(0,T;L^2([-R,R])), \tag{43}$$

as j approaches infinity. As $\varphi \geq 1$, (43) implies that there exists u such that (40) holds. The strong convergence allows passage to the limit in the nonlinearity.

Now it remains to prove that u indeed is a weak solution of (1). In the following we consider the standard L^2-projection of a function ψ with $k+1$ continuous derivatives into the space $S_{\Delta x}$, denoted by $\mathcal{P}$. That is,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\mathcal{P}\psi(x) - \psi(x) \right) v(x)\, dx = 0, \quad v \in S_{\Delta x}.$$

For the above projection we have

$$\|\psi(x) - \mathcal{P}\psi(x)\|_{H^k(\mathbb{R})} \leq C\Delta x \|\psi\|_{H^{k+1}(\mathbb{R})}, \tag{44}$$

where the constant C is independent of Δx. For a proof of the above estimate, see Ciarlet [2, p. 133].

Note also that from (33) we have for $v \in S_{\Delta x}$ and $n \geq 1$,

$$\left\langle D_t^+ u^n, \varphi v \right\rangle - \left\langle \frac{\left(u^{n+1/2}\right)^2}{2}, (\varphi v)_x \right\rangle + \left\langle \mathcal{H} u_x^{n+1/2}, (\varphi v)_x \right\rangle = 0,$$
$$\left\langle D_t^+ u^{n-1}, \varphi v \right\rangle - \left\langle \frac{\left(u^{n-1/2}\right)^2}{2}, (\varphi v)_x \right\rangle + \left\langle \mathcal{H} u_x^{n-1/2}, (\varphi v)_x \right\rangle = 0.$$

Averaging the two relations gives

$$\mathcal{F}_n(\varphi v) := \left\langle D_t^+ u^{n-1/2}, \varphi v \right\rangle - \frac{1}{2} \left\langle \frac{\left(u^{n+1/2}\right)^2 + \left(u^{n-1/2}\right)^2}{2}, (\varphi v)_x \right\rangle$$
$$+ \left\langle \mathcal{H} \left(\frac{u^{n+1/2} + u^{n-1/2}}{2} \right)_x, (\varphi v)_x \right\rangle = 0.$$

We will start by showing that

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(u_t^{\Delta x} \varphi v - \frac{\left(u^{\Delta x}\right)^2}{2} (\varphi v)_x - \left(\mathcal{H} u^{\Delta x} \right) (\varphi v)_{xx} \right) dx\, dt = \mathcal{O}(\Delta x), \tag{45}$$

for any test function $v \in C_c^\infty((-R+1, R-1)\times[0,T))$ and φ as previously defined. We proceed as follows.

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(u_t^{\Delta x}\varphi v - \frac{\left(u^{\Delta x}\right)^2}{2}(\varphi v)_x - \left(\mathcal{H}u^{\Delta x}\right)(\varphi v)_{xx} \right) dx\, dt \\
&= \int_0^{t_{1/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(u_t^{\Delta x}\varphi v - \frac{\left(u^{\Delta x}\right)^2}{2}(\varphi v)_x - \left(\mathcal{H}u^{\Delta x}\right)(\varphi v)_{xx} \right) dx\, dt \\
&\quad + \sum_{n=1}^{N} \int_{t_{n-1/2}}^{t_{n+1/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(u_t^{\Delta x}\varphi v - \frac{\left(u^{\Delta x}\right)^2}{2}(\varphi v)_x - \left(\mathcal{H}u^{\Delta x}\right)(\varphi v)_{xx} \right) dx\, dt \\
&=: \mathcal{C} + \mathcal{E}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Now let $v^{\Delta x} = \mathcal{P}v$ and observe that we may write

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{C} = &\int_0^{t_{1/2}} \underbrace{\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\left(D_t^+ u^0\right)\varphi v^{\Delta x} - \frac{\left(u^{1/2}\right)^2}{2}\left(\varphi v^{\Delta x}\right)_x + \left(\mathcal{H}u^{1/2}\right)_x \left(\varphi v^{\Delta x}\right)_x \right) dx\, dt}_{=0 \text{ by (13)}} \\
&+ \underbrace{\int_0^{t_{1/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(D_t^+ u^0\right)\varphi\left(v - v^{\Delta x}\right)\, dx\, dt}_{\mathcal{C}_1^{\Delta x}} \underbrace{- \int_0^{t_{1/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\left(u^{1/2}\right)^2}{2}\left(\varphi(v - v^{\Delta x})\right)_x\, dx\, dt}_{\mathcal{C}_2^{\Delta x}} \\
&\underbrace{- \int_0^{t_{1/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[\frac{\left(u^{\Delta x}\right)^2}{2} - \frac{\left(u^{1/2}\right)^2}{2} \right] (\varphi v)_x\, dx\, dt}_{\mathcal{C}_3^{\Delta x}} \\
&\underbrace{- \int_0^{t_{1/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\mathcal{H}u^{1/2}\right)\left(\varphi(v - v^{\Delta x})\right)_{xx}\, dx\, dt}_{\mathcal{C}_4^{\Delta x}} \\
&\underbrace{- \int_0^{t_{1/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\mathcal{H}\left(u^{\Delta x} - u^{1/2}\right)\right)(\varphi v)_{xx}\, dx\, dt}_{\mathcal{C}_5^{\Delta x}},
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$\mathcal{E} = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \int_{t_{n-1/2}}^{t_{n+1/2}} \underbrace{\mathcal{F}_n(\varphi v^{\Delta x})}_{=0}\, dt + \underbrace{\sum_{n=1}^{N} \int_{t_{n-1/2}}^{t_{n+1/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(D_t^+ u^{n-1/2}\right) \varphi \left(v - v^{\Delta x}\right)\, dx\, dt}_{\mathcal{E}_1^{\Delta x}}$$

$$\underbrace{- \sum_{n=1}^{N} \int_{t_{n-1/2}}^{t_{n+1/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{2} \frac{\left(u^{n+1/2}\right)^2 + \left(u^{n-1/2}\right)^2}{2} \left(\varphi(v - v^{\Delta x})\right)_x\, dx\, dt}_{\mathcal{E}_2^{\Delta x}}$$

$$\underbrace{- \sum_{n=1}^{N} \int_{t_{n-1/2}}^{t_{n+1/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{2} \left[\left(u^{\Delta x}\right)^2 - \frac{\left(u^{n+1/2}\right)^2 + \left(u^{n-1/2}\right)^2}{2}\right] (\varphi v)_x\, dx\, dt}_{\mathcal{E}_3^{\Delta x}}$$

$$\underbrace{- \sum_{n=1}^{N} \int_{t_{n-1/2}}^{t_{n+1/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\mathcal{H} \frac{u^{n+1/2} + u^{n-1/2}}{2}\right) \left(\varphi(v - v^{\Delta x})\right)_{xx} dx\, dt}_{\mathcal{E}_4^{\Delta x}}$$

$$\underbrace{- \sum_{n=1}^{N} \int_{t_{n-1/2}}^{t_{n+1/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\mathcal{H}\left(u^{\Delta x} - \frac{u^{n+1/2} + u^{n-1/2}}{2}\right)\right) (\varphi v)_{xx}\, dx\, dt}_{\mathcal{E}_5^{\Delta x}}.$$

Now we estimate the preceding terms. From (30), (44) and (39) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{C}_1^{\Delta x} + \mathcal{E}_1^{\Delta x} &= \int_0^T \int_{-R}^{R} \partial_t u^{\Delta x} \varphi \left(v - v^{\Delta x}\right)\, dx\, dt \\
&\leq \int_0^T \left\|\partial_t u^{\Delta x} \varphi\right\|_{H^{-2}([-R,R])} \left\|v - v^{\Delta x}\right\|_{H^2([-R+1,R-1])}\, dt \\
&\leq \Delta x\, C\left(\|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}, R\right) \|v\|_{L^2(0,T;H^3([-R+1,R-1]))} \xrightarrow{\Delta x \to 0} 0.
\end{aligned}$$

From (23), (29) and (44) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{C}_2^{\Delta x} + \mathcal{E}_2^{\Delta x} &\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{t_{1/2}} \int_{-R+1}^{R-1} \left|u^{1/2}\right|^2 \left|\left(\varphi(v - v^{\Delta x})\right)_x\right|\, dx\, dt \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \int_{t_{n-1/2}}^{t_{n+1/2}} \int_{-R+1}^{R-1} \left(\left|u^{n+1/2}\right|^2 + \left|u^{n-1/2}\right|^2\right) \left|\left(\varphi(v - v^{\Delta x})\right)_x\right|\, dx\, dt \\
&\leq C(R) \int_0^{t_{1/2}} \|v - v^{\Delta x}\|_{H^2([-R+1,R-1])} \|u^{1/2}\|_{L^2([-R,R])}\, dt \\
&\quad + C(R) \sum_{n=1}^{N} \int_{t_{n-1/2}}^{t_{n+1/2}} \|v - v^{\Delta x}\|_{H^2([-R+1,R-1])} \|u^{n+1/2}\|_{L^2([-R,R])}\, dt \\
&\quad + C(R) \sum_{n=1}^{N} \int_{t_{n-1/2}}^{t_{n+1/2}} \|v - v^{\Delta x}\|_{H^2([-R+1,R-1])} \|u^{n-1/2}\|_{L^2([-R,R])}\, dt \\
&\leq \Delta x\, C\left(\|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}, R\right) \|v\|_{L^\infty(0,T;H^3([-R+1,R-1]))} \xrightarrow{\Delta x \to 0} 0.
\end{aligned}$$

The next terms may be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{C}_3^{\Delta x} + \mathcal{E}_3^{\Delta x} = \frac{\Delta t}{4} \int_0^{t_{1/2}} \int_{-R+1}^{R+1} \left(u^{1/2} + u^0\right) \left(D_t^+ u^0\right) (\varphi v)_x \, dx \, dt \\
- \int_0^{t_{1/2}} \int_{-R+1}^{R+1} \left(u^0 t \left(D_t^+ u^0\right) (\varphi v)_x + \frac{1}{2} t^2 \left(D_t^+ u^0\right)^2 (\varphi v)_x \right) dx \, dt \\
+ \frac{\Delta t}{4} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \int_{t_{n-1/2}}^{t_{n+1/2}} \int_{-R+1}^{R-1} \left(u^{n+1/2} + u^{n-1/2}\right) \left(D_t^+ u^{n-1/2}\right) (\varphi v)_x \, dx \, dt \\
- \sum_{n=1}^{N} \int_{t_{n-1/2}}^{t_{n+1/2}} \int_{-R+1}^{R-1} u^{n-1/2} (t - t_{n-1/2}) \left(D_t^+ u^{n-1/2}\right) (\varphi v)_x \, dx \, dt \\
- \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=0}^{N} \int_{t_{n-1/2}}^{t_{n+1/2}} \int_{-R+1}^{R-1} (t - t_{n-1/2})^2 (D_t^+ u^{n-1/2})^2 (\varphi v)_x \, dx \, dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Using (30), (32), (14) and (23) we have the estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^{t_{1/2}} \int_{-R+1}^{R-1} u^0 (D_t^+ u^0)(\varphi v)_x \, dx \, dt + \sum_{n=1}^{N} \int_{t_{n-1/2}}^{t_{n+1/2}} \int_{-R+1}^{R-1} u^{n-1/2} (D_t^+ u^{n-1/2})(\varphi v)_x \, dx \, dt \\
&\leq \int_0^{t_{1/2}} \left\| u^0 \right\|_{L^\infty([-R,R])} \left\| D_t^+ u^0 \varphi \right\|_{H^{-2}([-R,R])} \left\| \varphi v \right\|_{H^3([-R+1,R-1])} \, dt \\
&\quad + \sum_{n=1}^{N} \int_{t_{n-1/2}}^{t_{n+1/2}} \left\| u^{n-1/2} \right\|_{L^\infty([-R,R])} \left\| D_t^+ u^{n-1/2} \varphi \right\|_{H^{-2}([-R,R])} \left\| \varphi v \right\|_{H^3([-R+1,R-1])} \, dt \\
&\leq C \left(\left\| u_0 \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}, R \right) \int_0^{t_{1/2}} \frac{C}{\sqrt{\Delta x}} \left\| u^0 \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \left\| \varphi v \right\|_{H^3([-R+1,R-1])} \, dt \\
&\quad + C \left(\left\| u_0 \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}, R \right) \sum_{n=1}^{N} \int_{t_{n-1/2}}^{t_{n+1/2}} \frac{C}{\sqrt{\Delta x}} \left\| u^{n-1/2} \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \left\| \varphi v \right\|_{H^3([-R+1,R-1])} \, dt \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Delta x}} C \left(\left\| u_0 \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}, R \right) \left\| v \right\|_{L^\infty(0,T;H^3([-R+1,R-1]))}.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^{t_{1/2}} \int_{-R+1}^{R-1} u^{1/2} (D_t^+ u^0)(\varphi v)_x \, dx \, dt + \sum_{n=1}^{N} \int_{t_{n-1/2}}^{t_{n+1/2}} \int_{-R+1}^{R-1} u^{n+1/2} (D_t^+ u^{n-1/2})(\varphi v)_x \, dx \, dt \\
&\leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Delta x}} C \left(\left\| u_0 \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}, R \right) \left\| v \right\|_{L^\infty(0,T;H^3([-R+1,R-1]))}.
\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left| \int_0^{t_{1/2}} \int_{-R+1}^{R+1} u^0 t \left(D_t^+ u^0\right) (\varphi v)_x \, dx \, dt \right| \\
&\quad + \left| \int_{t_{n-1/2}}^{t_{n+1/2}} \int_{-R+1}^{R-1} u^{n-1/2} (t - t_{n-1/2}) \left(D_t^+ u^{n-1/2}\right) (\varphi v)_x \, dx \, dt \right| \\
&\leq \frac{\Delta t}{2} \int_0^{t_{1/2}} \left\| u^0 \right\|_{L^\infty([-R+1,R-1])} \int_{-R+1}^{R-1} \left| D_t^+ u^0 \right| \left| (\varphi v)_x \right| dx \, dt \\
&\quad + \Delta t \int_{t_{n-1/2}}^{t_{n+1/2}} \left\| u^{n-1/2} \right\|_{L^\infty([-R+1,R-1])} \int_{-R+1}^{R-1} \left| D_t^+ u^{n-1/2} \right| \left| (\varphi v)_x \right| dx \, dt,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\int_0^{t_{1/2}}\int_{-R+1}^{R-1} t^2\left(D_t^+u^0\right)^2(\varphi v)_x\,dx\,dt\right| \\
&\quad+\left|\int_{t_{n-1/2}}^{t_{n+1/2}}\int_{-R+1}^{R-1}(t-t_{n-1/2})^2(D_t^+u^{n-1/2})^2(\varphi v)_x\,dx\,dt\right| \\
&\le\frac{\Delta t}{2}\int_{t_{n-1/2}}^{t_{n+1/2}}\left\|u^{1/2}-u^0\right\|_{L^\infty([-R+1,R-1])}\int_{-R+1}^{R-1}\left|D_t^+u^0\right|\left|(\varphi v)_x\right|dx\,dt \\
&\quad+\Delta t\int_{t_{n-1/2}}^{t_{n+1/2}}\left\|u^{n+1/2}-u^{n-1/2}\right\|_{L^\infty([-R+1,R-1])}\int_{-R+1}^{R-1}\left|D_t^+u^{n-1/2}\right|\left|(\varphi v)_x\right|dx\,dt.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus these terms can be estimated like the preceding ones, and as $\Delta t/\sqrt{\Delta x}=\mathcal{O}(\Delta x)$ we obtain

$$\mathcal{C}_3^{\Delta x}+\mathcal{E}_3^{\Delta x}\xrightarrow{\Delta x\to 0}0.$$

Using the L^2-isometry of the Hilbert transform, (23) and (44) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{C}_4^{\Delta x}+\mathcal{E}_4^{\Delta x}&\le\int_0^{t_{1/2}}\left\|\mathcal{H}u^{1/2}\right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}\left\|\left(\varphi(v-v^{\Delta x})\right)_{xx}\right\|_{L^2([-R+1,R-1])}dt \\
&\quad+\frac{1}{2}\sum_{n=1}^N\int_{t_{n-1/2}}^{t_{n+1/2}}\left\|\mathcal{H}u^{n+1/2}\right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}\left\|\left(\varphi(v-v^{\Delta x})\right)_{xx}\right\|_{L^2([-R+1,R-1])}dt \\
&\quad+\frac{1}{2}\sum_{n=1}^N\int_{t_{n-1/2}}^{t_{n+1/2}}\left\|\mathcal{H}u^{n-1/2}\right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})}\left\|\left(\varphi(v-v^{\Delta x})\right)_{xx}\right\|_{L^2([-R+1,R-1])}dt \\
&\le C\left(\|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})},R\right)\int_0^T\left\|v-v^{\Delta x}\right\|_{H^2([-R+1,R-1])}dt \\
&=\Delta x\,C\left(\|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})},R\right)\|v\|_{L^\infty(0,T;H^3([-R+1,R-1]))}\xrightarrow{\Delta x\to 0}0.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, from (31), the H^2-isometry of the Hilbert transform and (41) we have the estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{C}_5^{\Delta x}+\mathcal{E}_5^{\Delta x}&=\int_0^{t_{1/2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\Delta t\left(-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{t}{\Delta t}\right)(D_t^+u^0)(\mathcal{H}(\varphi v)_{xx})\,dx\,dt \\
&\quad+\sum_{n=1}^N\int_{t_{n-1/2}}^{t_{n+1/2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}}\Delta t\left(-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{t-t_{n-1/2}}{\Delta t}\right)(D_t^+u^{n-1/2})(\mathcal{H}(\varphi v)_{xx})\,dx\,dt \\
&\le\frac{\Delta t}{2}\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left|\partial_t u^{\Delta x}\varphi\right|\left|\mathcal{H}(\varphi v)_{xx}\right|\,dx\,dt \\
&\le\Delta t\,C\left(\|u_0\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R})},R\right)\|\varphi v\|_{L^2(0,T;H^4([-R+1,R-1]))}\xrightarrow{\Delta t\to 0}0.
\end{aligned}$$

We combine the preceding estimates to conclude that (45) holds. Also, observe that by passing $\Delta x\to 0$ we obtain

$$\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(u_t\varphi v-\frac{u^2}{2}(\varphi v)_x-(\mathcal{H}u)\,(\varphi v)_{xx}\right)dx\,dt=0, \tag{46}$$

for any test function $v\in C_c^\infty\left([-R+1,R-1]\times[0,T)\right)$. Now we choose $v=\phi/\varphi$ in (46) with $\phi\in C_c^\infty\left([-R+1,R-1]\times[0,T)\right)$ and integrate by parts to conclude that (35) holds, that is

$$\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left(\phi_t u+\phi_x\frac{u^2}{2}-(\mathcal{H}\phi_{xx})\,u\right)dx\,dt+\int_{\mathbb{R}}\phi(x,0)u_0(x)\,dx=0.$$

This finishes the proof of Theorem 4.1. □

5. Numerical experiments

Here we present some numerical experiments to illustrate the convergence proved in the preceding section for the fully discrete scheme (13). Inspired by [7] define $S_{\Delta x}$ in the following way. Let f and g be the functions

$$f(y) = \begin{cases} 1 + y^2(2|y| - 3), & |y| \leq 1, \\ 0, & |y| > 1, \end{cases}$$

$$g(y) = \begin{cases} y(1 - |y|)^2, & |y| \leq 1, \\ 0, & |y| > 1. \end{cases}$$

For $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ we define the basis functions

$$v_{2j} = f\left(\frac{x - x_j}{\Delta x}\right), \quad v_{2j+1} = g\left(\frac{x - x_j}{\Delta x}\right),$$

where $x_j = j\Delta x$. Then $\{v_j\}_{-M}^{M}$ spans a $4M + 2$ dimensional subspace of $H^2(\mathbb{R})$. In the following we define $N := 2M$, which is the number of elements used in the approximation. We have used periodic boundary conditions in the experiments as the exact solution and numerical approximation are close to zero at the boundary for all times t under consideration, and so the periodic boundary condition will approximate the decay at infinity on the full line. We have chosen the weight function φ to be $120 + x$ so that it is a positive, increasing function with derivative 1 on the domain considered.

In our experiments we have chosen to set $\Delta t = \mathcal{O}(\Delta x)$ contrary to the assertion $\Delta t = \mathcal{O}(\Delta x^2)$ from the theory, as smaller time steps did not lead to significant improvement in the accuracy of the approximations. In the iteration (15) to obtain u^{n+1} we chose the stopping condition $\|w^{\ell+1} - w^{\ell}\|_{L^2} \leq 0.002\Delta x\|u^n\|_{L^2}$, which typically required 4–7 iterations for the cruder discretisations and 2–3 iterations for the finer ones. The integrals involved in the Hilbert transforms were computed with seven and eight point Gauss–Legendre quadrature rules respectively for the inner Cauchy principal value integral and the outer integral appearing in the inner product.

For $t = n\Delta t$, we set $u^{\Delta x}(x, t) = u^n(x, t) = \sum_{j=-M}^{M} u_j^n v_j(x)$. We have measured the relative error of the numerical approximation compared to the exact solution u,

$$E := \frac{\|u^{\Delta x} - u\|_{L^2}}{\|u\|_{L^2}},$$

where the L^2-norms were computed with the trapezoidal rule in the points x_j. Numerical methods which preserve more of the conserved quantities for completely integrable partial differential equations have been observed to give more accurate approximations than the ones preserving fewer. As $\int_{\mathbb{R}} u^2\,dx$ is one of the conserved quantities for the BO equation, we computed the relative change in L^2-norm for the approximation,

$$I := \frac{\|u^{\Delta x}(t)\|_{L^2} - \|u^{\Delta x}(0)\|_{L^2}}{\|u^{\Delta x}(0)\|_{L^2}},$$

to see how well this quantity was conserved. We have also included the rate of convergence for E and I, respectively defined for each intermediate step between element numbers N_1 and N_2 as

$$\frac{\ln(E(N_1)) - \ln(E(N_2))}{\ln(N_2) - \ln(N_1)}, \quad \frac{\ln(|I(N_1)|) - \ln(|I(N_2)|)}{\ln(N_2) - \ln(N_1)},$$

where we now see E and I as functions of the element number N.

In our example we consider a solution to (1) presented in [20], namely

$$u_{s2}(x,t) = \frac{4c_1c_2\left(c_1\lambda_1^2 + c_2\lambda_2^2 + (c_1+c_2)^2c_1^{-1}c_2^{-1}(c_1-c_2)^{-2}\right)}{\left(c_1c_2\lambda_1\lambda_2 - (c_1+c_2)^2(c_1-c_2)^{-2}\right)^2 + (c_1\lambda_1 + c_2\lambda_2)^2}, \tag{47}$$

where $\lambda_1 := x - c_1t - d_1$ and $\lambda_2 := x - c_2t - d_2$. When $c_2 > c_1$ and $d_1 > d_2$ this expression represents a tall soliton overtaking a smaller one while moving to the right. We applied the fully discrete scheme with initial data $u_0(x) = u_{s2}(x,0)$ and parameters $c_1 = 0.3$, $c_2 = 0.6$, $d_1 = -30$ and $d_2 = -55$. The time step was set to $\Delta t = 0.5\|u_0\|_{L^\infty}^{-1}\Delta x$ and the numerical solutions were computed for $t = 90$ and $t = 180$, that is during and after the taller soliton overtaking the smaller one. To approximate the full line problem we set the domain to $[-100, 100]$ with the aforementioned periodic boundary condition. The results are presented in Table 1 and a comparison between the approximation for $N = 256$ and the exact solution is shown in Figure 1.



FIGURE 1. Numerical approximation for $N = 256$ and exact solution for $t = 0$, 90 and 180, respectively positioned from left to right in the plot, for full line problem with periodic boundary conditions.

The latter shows that the shape and position of the numerical approximation for $N = 256$ agrees quite well with the exact solution. Still, we observe that for $t = 180$ there is a visible error in the height of the tallest soliton, which has introduced a small phase error in the approximation. Since the soliton is very narrow this causes a relative error of 30%, as seen from Table 1. This error is actually larger than the error for $N = 128$ where the approximate solution has pronounced oscillations.

t	N	E	rate	I	rate
90	128	0.01844		$-7.0540 \cdot 10^{-4}$	
			-1.45		-2.45
	256	0.05021		$-3.8527 \cdot 10^{-3}$	
			1.58		1.95
	512	0.01678		$-9.9617 \cdot 10^{-4}$	
			0.68		1.46
	1024	0.01044		$3.6244 \cdot 10^{-4}$	
			1.16		3.12
	2048	0.00467		$4.1568 \cdot 10^{-5}$	
			0.08		3.34
	4096	0.00442		$4.1170 \cdot 10^{-6}$	
180	128	0.11959		$-6.4459 \cdot 10^{-4}$	
			-1.32		-3.60
	256	0.29755		$-7.7958 \cdot 10^{-3}$	
			1.75		1.69
	512	0.08869		$-2.4158 \cdot 10^{-3}$	
			0.74		1.39
	1024	0.05295		$9.2166 \cdot 10^{-4}$	
			2.35		2.99
	2048	0.01040		$1.1612 \cdot 10^{-4}$	
			0.89		2.99
	4096	0.00561		$1.4617 \cdot 10^{-5}$	

TABLE 1. Relative L^2-error at $t = 90$ and $t = 180$ for full line problem with initial data u_{s2} and periodic boundary conditions.

Still, the phase error for $N = 128$ is much smaller than for $N = 256$, and since the solitons are so narrow this has a much larger impact on the relative L^2-norm of the error. Thus an element number of $N = 128$ or less seems to be too small to get consistent reduction in the errors for increasing N. We see that for N greater than or equal to 256, the relative L^2-error at both $t = 90$ and $t = 180$ decreases, but not in a systematic fashion. The same is observed for the relative change in L^2-norm for the approximation. This lack of systematic reduction in the norms is probably caused by our approximation of the full line problem by a periodic one on a finite interval. It should also be pointed out that this is a complicated example, as one has to approximate the nonlinear interaction between two passing solitons. Finally we refer to [9] for a study of theoretical convergence rates for this scheme and a modified scheme aimed at the periodic version of (1), given sufficiently regular initial data.

Acknowledgements: The author is tremendously grateful to Helge Holden and Nils Henrik Risebro for their support and helpful feedback throughout this work.

REFERENCES

[1] T. B. Benjamin. Internal waves of permanent form in fluids of great depth. *J. Fluid Mech.*, 29(3):559–592, 1967.

[2] P. G. Ciarlet. *The finite element method for elliptic problems*, volume 40 of *Classics in Applied Mathematics*. Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics (SIAM), Philadelphia, PA, 2002.

[3] E. Di Nezza, G. Palatucci, and E. Valdinoci. Hitchhiker's guide to the fractional Sobolev spaces. *Bull. Sci. Math.*, 136(5):521–573, 2012.

[4] R. Dutta, H. Holden, U. Koley, and N. H. Risebro. Convergence of finite difference schemes for the Benjamin–Ono equation. *Numer. Math.*, pages 1–26, 2015.

[5] R. Dutta, H. Holden, U. Koley, and N. H. Risebro. Operator splitting for the Benjamin–Ono equation. *J. Differential Equations*, 259(11):6694–6717, 2015.

[6] R. Dutta, U. Koley, and N. H. Risebro. Convergence of a higher order scheme for the Korteweg–de Vries equation. *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.*, 53(4):1963–1983, 2015.

[7] R. Dutta and N. H. Risebro. A note on the convergence of a Crank–Nicolson scheme for the KdV equation. *Int. J. Numer. Anal. Model.*, 13(5):657–675, 2016.

[8] A. S. Fokas and M. J. Ablowitz. The inverse scattering transform for the Benjamin–Ono equation—a pivot to multidimensional problems. *Stud. Appl. Math.*, 68(1):1–10, 1983.

[9] S. T. Galtung. Convergence Rates of a Fully Discrete Galerkin Scheme for the Benjamin–Ono Equation. 2016. arXiv:1611.09041.

[10] S. T. Galtung. A convergent Crank–Nicolson Galerkin scheme for the Benjamin–Ono equation. Master's thesis, NTNU Norwegian University of Science and Technology, 2016.
[11] J. Ginibre and G. Velo. Commutator expansions and smoothing properties of generalized Benjamin–Ono equations. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Phys. Théor.*, 51(2):221–229, 1989.
[12] J. Ginibre and G. Velo. Smoothing properties and existence of solutions for the generalized Benjamin–Ono equation. *J. Differential Equations*, 93(1):150–212, 1991.
[13] L. Grafakos. *Classical Fourier analysis*, volume 249 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer, New York, third edition, 2014.
[14] H. Holden, U. Koley, and N. H. Risebro. Convergence of a fully discrete finite difference scheme for the Korteweg–de Vries equation. *IMA J. Numer. Anal.*, 35(3):1047–1077, 2015.
[15] A. D. Ionescu and C. E. Kenig. Global well-posedness of the Benjamin–Ono equation in low-regularity spaces. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 20(3):753–798 (electronic), 2007.
[16] T. Kato. On the Cauchy problem for the (generalized) Korteweg–de Vries equation. In *Studies in applied mathematics*, volume 8 of *Adv. Math. Suppl. Stud.*, pages 93–128. Academic Press, New York, 1983.
[17] F. Linares and G. Ponce. *Introduction to nonlinear dispersive equations*. Universitext. Springer, New York, second edition, 2015.
[18] H. Ono. Algebraic solitary waves in stratified fluids. *J. Phys. Soc. Japan*, 39(4):1082–1091, 1975.
[19] T. Tao. Global well-posedness of the Benjamin–Ono equation in $H^1(\mathbf{R})$. *J. Hyperbolic Differ. Equ.*, 1(1):27–49, 2004.
[20] V. Thomée and A. S. Vasudeva Murthy. A numerical method for the Benjamin–Ono equation. *BIT*, 38(3):597–611, 1998.

E-mail address: sondre.galtung@math.ntnu.no

Department of Mathematical Sciences, NTNU Norwegian University of Science and Technology, NO-7491 Trondheim, Norway